


Background

- Medical doctor and PhD from Copenhagen University
- Worked with hand hygiene compliance for the last 7 years
- Senior Medical Director in Sani Nudge
- Podcast: <u>The Hygiene & Infection</u>
 Prevention Network



The importance of hand hygiene is widely acknowledged

- Spread of infections and antimicrobial resistance present a major threat to human health comparable in scale to climate change.
- **CDC**: Practicing hand hygiene (HH) is a simple yet effective way to prevent healthcare-associated infections (HAI).
- **WHO**: Performing HH at the right moments is the most effective way to prevent HAI.



he Lancet Respiratory Medicine, Editorial. Antimicrobial resistance-what can we learn from climate change? Lancet Respir Med. 2016 Nov;4(11):845.

enters for Disease Prevention and Control. Hand Hygiene in Healthcare Settings. https://www.cdc.gov/handhygiene/

Marco Bo Hanser

3



The effects of hand hygiene

** Patient safety: Fewer infections

- Length-of-stay
- Medication
- Re-operation
- Isolation regimen
- Readmission

Staff safety: Fewer infections

- Safer working environment
- · Decreased absenteeism
- Less family members infected (influenza, norovirus, etc.)

Costs: Three times higher for patients who acquire a HAI

The King-Fund Healthcare-Associated infections Stemming the rise of superbug. 2008. World Health Organization Health are associated infections fact sheet. Available http://www.who.inf/gosc/country_work/gosc_ccisc_fact_sheet_en_ddf World Health Organization WHID Guidelines on Hand tyglene in Health Care-First Global Fasters Safety Challenge Clean Care is Safet Care. Available http://www.who.linfm.line.org/wooks/MRL440127.

Hosp Infect. 2011 Sep.115:71-74.
Guest IF, et al. Modelling the annual NHS costs and outcomes attributable to healthcare-associated infections in England. BMJ Open. 2020 Jan 22:1011:teo3335.

Rahmqvist M et al. Direct health care costs and length of hospital stay related to health care-acquired infections in adult patients based on point prevalence measurements. Am I Infect Control. 2016 May 1:44(5):500–6.



Influential factors associated with HHC

- Time constraints and busyness (stress factor, cognitive load)
- Hand hygiene as self-protection (gloves and perception)
- 3. Awareness of being watched (Hawthorne effect)
- 4. Converting knowledge into action and changing intention into behavior (system 1 and 2)
- 5. Availability and placement
- Social pressure and role modelling (culture, management support)
- 7. Skin irritation
- 8. Knowledge

/larco Bo Hanse

Sands M, et al. Determinants of hand hygiene compliance among nurses in US hospitals: A formative research study. PLoS One. 2020; 15(4 e0230573. Squires JE, et al. Understanding Practice: Factors That Influence Physician Hand Hygiene Compliance. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2014

Hosted by Martin Kiernan martin@webbertraining.com www.webbertraining.com

6

HHC in healthcare during the pandemic

What have we learned?

- Some studies have documented an increase in compliance while others have reported a decline.
- The organizations had different starting points before the pandemic, and they responded differently.
- Time constrains, business, self-protection, leadership played a role.
- HHC is not given! Behavior and culture are dynamic variables and differs from organization, ward, person.

Huang F, et al. Covid-19 outbreak and health care worker behavioral change toward hand hygiene practices. J Hosp Infect. 2021;27–34.
Stangerup M, et al. Hand hygiene compliance of healthcare workers before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: A long-term follow-up study. Am J Infect Control. 2021 Sep;49(9):1118-1122.
Williams V, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on hospital hand hygiene performance: a multicentre observational study using group electronic monitoring. CMAI Open. 2021 Dec 14-9(4):E1175-E118

Marco Bo Hans

We fall back into old routines and habits



Thinking is to humans as swimming is to cats; they can do it but they'd prefer not to

Nobel prize winner – Daniel Kahneman

8

Time to rethink hand hygiene







Hand hygiene culture is like an ecosystem that needs to be nurtured.

The culture requires a movement, not a mandate.

A sustainable hand hygiene culture can't be achieved through topdown mandate.

It lives in the collective hearts and habits of people and their shared perception of "how things are done around here."

Walker B, Soule SA. Harvard Business Review. Available: https://hbr.org/2017/06/changing-company-culture-requires-a-movement-not-a-mandate

Marco Bo Hanse

• 10

9

Evolution of hand hygiene monitoring

Innovation and digitalization is driving the trend

DIRECT OBSERVATION



Hand hygiene moments are recorded by different observers

Observations are tabulated manually and only captures 1-4% of all events¹

The Hawthorne Effect: people act differently when watched

PRODUCT USAGE



Dispenser activity is tracked to measure product consumption

Results are compared to a theoretical number of hand hygiene opportunities

The ability to impact individual behavior is low

ELECTRONIC MONITORING




Comprised of an integrated system that may include badges, dispensers, sensors

Gives hospitals the ability to collect, analyze and report real-time, actionable data


Provides healthcare workers with real-time reminders of when to perform hand hygiene

Marco Bo Hansen

11 Direct observation Direct observation of hand hygiene practices. Description May be manual (pen and paper) or technology-assisted using an app The only method that can evaluate the "Five Moments for HH" and **Advantages** technique Hawthorne Effect (up to 300%) Inter-observer variation requiring effort in training data collectors Time intensive to observe and manually create reports Short observation periods Disadvantages Captures a fraction of HH opportunities Limited opportunity to observe hand hygiene in patient rooms, toilets, etc. due to privacy Can be difficult to observe a colleague



13 Several different types of electronic sensors using different technologies Description Real time locating systems Group or individual monitoring Require fewer human resources Provide larger data sets Less subject to observation bias **Advantages** May provide real-time feedback to HCWs When integrated with a database, allow for automated reports Some technologies can be expensive with high maintenance costs Some technologies make it necessary to work closely with engineering to Disadvantages assess possible interference with existing equipment Some technologies connect to hospital network and may tax the network and/or IT resources



Increasing interest for electronic hand hygiene monitoring systems (EMS)

- Healthcare systems acknowledge the value of EMS
- COVID-19 has emphasized the need and showed the way
- · Part of the automation and digitalization agenda
- Hospitals need tools that automate the data collection for them and provide easy-to-read and actionable compliance information
- Healthcare systems are facing an increasing pressure from accreditation bodies to measure and document hand hygiene compliance as part of quality assurance
- Healthcare organizations are starting to use EMS as part of the WHO's multimodal strategy for HH improvement

National Patient Safety Goal: Monitoring of Hand Hygiene. Goal 7- 07.01.01. Comprehensive AccreditationManual for Hospitals Standards FAQs. Joint Commission



16

15

Increased focus on EMS by WHO

"WHO is particularly attentive to **encourage innovations**, such as non-touch dispensers, **automatic monitoring systems** and other technologies taking human factors and ergonomics into account, and more sophisticated adult learning educational approaches to facilitate uptake, such as gaming and augmented reality applications."

"WHO strongly recommends hand hygiene as a **key performance indicator** and a minimum requirement for IPC programs in all countries."



Sax H, Pittet D, et al. 'My five moments for hand hygiene': a user-centred design approach to understand, train, monitor and report hand hygiene. J Hosp Infect. 2007 Sep;67(1):9-2

larco Bo Hansen

Use of an EMS affords the NHS a cost-effective intervention

MJ Open Modelling the costs and consequences of reducing healthcare-associated infections by improving hand hygiene in an average hospital in England Cost-Benefit

 Net benefit of introducing the EMS varies between £33,800-2.4 million, depending on the percentage reduction in HAIs.


- If the reduction in HAIs is ≥3%, the cost of the EMS would be offset by the ensuing cost-reductions.
- If at least a 15% reduction in HAIs occurs, the probability of costeffectiveness is very high.
- For every £1 spent by the hospital on the EMS, they save ≥£1.10

Assumptions

- Probability of adult inpatients acquiring a HAI: 4.7%
- HAI prevalence of front-line HCPs: 1.72%
- Hand hygiene improvement: 20%
- HAI reduction using EMS: 5-25%
- EMS cost: £1.5

Marco Bo Hansen

17



Here are 5 Things to Consider When Evaluating an EHHCM Solution

- 1. WHAT IS BEING MONITORED?
- 2. HOW ACCURATE IS THE MONITORING DATA? V
- 3. HOW IS MONITORING DATA REPORTED?
- 4. WHAT IS THE INSTALLATION PROCESS?
- 5. WHAT TRAINING AND SERVICE ARE INCLUDED?

Marco Bo Hansen

WHAT IS BEING MONITORED?

- Several EMS are commercially available
- No system is the same
- Simple classification:
 - Group monitoring
 - · Room monitoring
 - · Patient-centered monitoring



Marco Bo Hanse

19

Evolution of the EMS

Advanced classification:

Type 1: Measure consumption as a proxy for adherence. Opportunities are not measured

Type 2: Passage-counter measuring the number of people passing certain strategic points as a proxy for opportunities

Type 3: Entry/Exit. Use badges to distinguish between HCWs, patients and visitors

Type 4: Zones to detect movement between beds

Type 5: Continuous detection, flexible zones, also in other areas than patient rooms

Type 3
Type 5
Type 5
Type 5
Marco Bo Hansen

Gould D, et al. Electronic hand hygiene monitoring: accuracy, impact on the Hawthorne effect and efficiency. J Infect

21

HOW ACCURATE IS THE MONITORING DATA?

The importance of validation

- Accuracy is a focus area for healthcare workers
- How do I assess the quality of a third-party compliance monitoring system to ensure I'm setting my hospital up for long-term success?
- Without validation data IPC teams can be reluctant to procure EMS

Kelley, et al. Electronic hand hygiene monitoring systems can be well-tolerated by health workers: Findings of a qualitative study. J Infect Prev. 2021 Nov; 22(6): 246–251

Marco Bo Hanser

22



We need an updated overview

- A systematic review of 42 articles mentioning automated measurement systems found that fewer than 20% of the studies included calculations for accuracy (2014)
- Long time ago! Always a step behind? Technology evolution speeds up exponentially and our knowledge should be able to follow that speed.
- Cochrane reviews need to be updated every second year. The same should be the case for the EHM validation reviews.

Nard MA, et al. Automated and electronically assisted hand hygiene monitoring systems: a

Marco Bo Hansen

3-phase validation approach by limper et al.

Independent event-approach

Phase 1: Test environment


Evaluate the accuracy under controlled laboratory conditions with trained people who perform pre-determined actions

Phase 2: Planned path

Follow a planned path in a ward and activate all sensors

Phase 3: Behavioral validation

Using trained observers to perform direct observation to document room activity and compare to the raw data collected by the EMS



Marco Bo Hansen

23

imper HM, et al. Assessment of an aggregate-level hand hygiene monitoring technology for measuring hand hygiene performance among healthcare personnel. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2017;38(3):348–52

24


Technical needs that are not currently addressed

- Two observers: Inter-observer variability
- Check-list: Badges worn correctly, sensors on used dispensers, exact time registration that is aligned with the EMS to identify the same events.
- · Definition of true and false HH actions
- Accuracy measures to be used: Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV? How to identify true negative event?
- Finding the same events again... the act of precision. If you are having an anonymized system, it can be difficult to identify the events. Use of test badges?

Marco Bo Hansen

Advice Look at it as a research study

- Make a good study protocol
 - Include a sample size calculation
- Incorporate user feedback
 - Lack of qualitative components when assessing EMS
 - Important to better understand HCWs' perception of EMS



Marco Bo Hanser

25

26

What needs to be done

The IPC community must agree on:

- 1. The method to be used within each group of EMS
- 2. What to be measured?
 - Independent event-approach or
 - Separating HH events and HH opportunities to be able to compare between different groups?
 - Should HH opportunities in relation to patient contact be combined HH opportunities in, e.g., medication room and rinsing rooms – or reported separately?
- 3. Statistics to be used and how it should be reported
 - Limper et al. recommends sensitivity and positive predictive value
 - Clear definition of true and false HH actions
 - Guidance on appropriate sample size or guidance for calculations
- 4. The qualitative measures needed to evaluate an EMS

imper HM, et al. A Validation Protocol: Assessing the Accuracy of Hand Hygiene Monitoring Technology. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology 37(8): 1002–100

Marco Bo Hansen

27

Change in mindset is needed <

Alignment of expectations

- 100% HHC is not possible and that is ok
- HHC will not be as high with EMS compared with direct observations
- HHC will not be as high with EMS type 5 and 4 as compared to Type 3

There will be situations where HH is not performed

• Example: Emergency situations

Focus on changes from baseline and not so much on specific numbers

Should all healthcare organizations perform EMS validation?

28

- Is one published validation study sufficient? How many?
- A good validation setup requires time and resources. Time that most healthcare organizations don't have
- It is not scalable way of implementing technology
- Look in the literature to see what has been published
- Create a pragmatic setup that can be adapted to the requirements of the organization in alignment with their resources

Marco Bo Hansen

Digitalization is the future

- We should work with the technological development and not against it
- Good validation of EMS is a step in the right direction
- Clear guidance and best practices need to be communicated uniformly by the IPC community across on a global level (not country level)



Marco Bo Hansen

LinkedIn

Twitter

ResearchGate

ORCID

www.webbertraining.com/schedulep1.php	
February 24, 2022	VACCINE HESITANCY WHAT'S HAPPENING? Speaker: Prof. Rodney Rohde, Texas State University
March 3, 2022	(FREE Teleclass Denver Russell Memorial Teleclass Lecture) BENEFITS AND POTENTIAL UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES OF ROUTINE CHLORHEXIDINE BATHING IN HEALTHCARE FACILITIES Speaker: Prof. Mary Hayden, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago
March 10, 2022	HAND HYGIENE: NOT JUST FOR HEALTH CARE WORKERS ANYMORE!! Speaker: Dr. Jocelyn Srigley, University of British Columbia
March 17, 2022	INFECTION CONTROL IN CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES Speaker: Nyreith Adeyemi, California Correctional Health Care Services
April 7, 2022	MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR LEADERS TO PROMOTE INFECTION PREVENTION Speaker: Dr. Ann Scheck McAlearney, Ohio State University College of Medicine
	LIFECYCLE OF MOLECULAR MICROBIOLOGY DIAGNOSTIC TECHNOLOGY:

