Surveillance of Healthcare Associated Infection The National Approach

Jennie Wilson

Senior Nurse Healthcare Associated Infection and Antimicrobial Resistance Dept. CDSC

Hosted by: Paul Webber paul@webbertraining.com

www.webbertraining.com



- Antimicrobial resistance strategies (1999 +)
- Socio-economic burden of HAI project (1999)
- Clinical governance / Controls Assurance
- NAO: Value for Money study (2000)
- · Performance management CHAI
- Getting ahead of the Curve (2002)



National Audit Office Value for Money study (2000)

- Effective surveillance essential
- · ICTs want to spend more time on surveillance
- · Lack of comparable data on rates
- Variation in extent data is disseminated
- · NINSS starting to show benefits of surveillance
- Need for post-discharge surveillance



Controls Assurance: Surveillance criteria

- Surveillance carried out using:
 - Defined methods
 - Agreed objectives and priorities
 - Specified in annual infection control programme
- Key indicators to show improvement in infection control and/or early warning of risk
 - Demonstrate performance of IC
 - Monitor IC service

CHAI: infection control indicators

Infection control procedures

 Average of % scores for 15 controls assurance criteria

MRSA bacteraemia improvement score (from July 03)

- Difference between no. of MRSA bacteraemia (01/02)
- Bandings for improvement/deterioration



Department of Health HCAI Action Plan

- · Hospital-based surveillance
- Nationally and Regionally co-ordinated

1. Alert organisms

- S.aureus (MRSA): move to routine reporting
- Glycopeptide resistant enterococcus: routine bacteraemia reporting
- C.difficile: routine reporting from Jan 04



- 2. Monitoring of serious untoward incidents
 - Incidents associated with infection
 - Significant morbidity / virulence
 - Impact of patient care
- 3. Enhanced surveillance of SSI
 - Develop mandatory surveillance in orthopaedics
- 4. Develop IT systems for HAI surveillance
 - Evaluate software for IC



Winning Ways (CMO 2003) What is needed....

- 1. 'Make HCAI a visible and unambiguous indicator of quality and safety of patients care'
- 2. 'Provision of high quality information for the public/patients and clinical teams so that the risks associated with the performance of certain procedures are transparent.'



Action Area 1: Active surveillance and investigation

- Mandatory surveillance for BSI, SSI, *C.difficile*, serious incidents, PDS
- Root cause analysis/HACCP
- Co-ordination of diagnostic data on HCAI
- Comparative data for clinical teams
- Rates of HCAI published on CMO website
- Audit of deaths form HCAI
- Serious outbreaks reported to HPA



What is surveillance of HCAI ?

• '..the active, ongoing observation of the occurrence and distribution of HAI among patients (and staff) and of the events or condition that increase or decrease the risk of acquiring such infections.'

Haley et al 1985

It requires:

- Precise definition of the events to be surveyed
- Systematic collection of data
- Analysis and interpretation of data
- Dissemination of results to those who need to know, so that appropriate action can be taken

Why do surveillance of HCAI?

- 1. Target infection control activities to prevent infection
 - Use information to initiate/support change in practice
 - Use information to monitor change
 - Use information to demonstrate good practice

2. Early detection/prevention of outbreaks

 Less than 10% of HCAI part of an epidemic (Stamm 1981)



SENIC study (Haley et al 1985)

Best infection control programmes

• reduced rate of HAI by 32%

No infection control programmes

rate increased by 18%



1. Control activities

- detect, investigate, control outbreaks
- produce, implement, monitor policies
- educate staff
- 2. Surveillance activities
 - identify infections
 - analyse data
 - disseminate results



Reductions of HAI by effective IC programmes

Surgical wound infection:

- 20% (19%) reduction if organised IC and surveillance programme and rates of SWI reported to surgeons
- 35% (41%) if also Dr. with IC/epidemiology expertise

Reductions of HAI by effective IC programmes

Hospital acquired bacteraemia

- 15% reduction in rates if organised IC programme
- 35% reduction if surveillance, and ICN/250 beds

Making surveillance work

- Set objectives
- Design tools/methods appropriate for each objective
 - Monitoring, not research (i.e. don't try to collect too much data)
 - Clear case definitions
- Data quality
 - Systematic data collection
 - Rely on data to inform action



Protec





Surveillance i.e. case finding Passive = 'HAI identified and reported by people other than trained personnel' - designated personnel not required - unreliable, definition not applied consistently - sensitivity: 14-34% (Perl, 1998) Active = 'trained personnel use a variety of data sources to determine whether an HAI has occurred' - requires designated staff but data reliable

- sensitivity = 85-100% (Perl, 1998)



Active vs. passive surveillance Lee & Baker, APIC 1996

"If the ICP asks the nursing staff to report any ICU patients with signs or symptoms of pneumonia and waits to hear from them, this would be a **passive** approach. If, on the other hand, the ICP makes routine contact with the nursing staff to determine if any cases have been noted, this is an **active** approach"





Factors that contribute to the risk of SSI Mangram (1999) CDC Guideline for Prevention of Surgical Site Infection.

- Pre-operative shaving
- Pre-operative skin preparation
- Duration of operation
- Antimicrobial prophylaxis
- · Theatre ventilation and staff movement
- Foreign material in surgical site
- Surgical drains
- Surgical techniques – poor haemostasis, tissue trauma, dead space

Hosted by Paul Webber paul@webbertraining.com www.webbertraining.com Health Protect Agency

ADMINISTRATIVE	CARE DELIVERY PROCEDURES		Et	VIRONMENT	Devised @ GRI by ETC
PROCEDURES FAILURE TO: recegnise the patient has MESA carly, e.g. not checking notes marked WRSA', patient not screened on admission, sweds not sant from		ND HYGIENE (QUALITY QUENCY) protective clothing. Not put on ad not removed when contaminated,	FALURE TO keep environment free of durt/dirt. Continuitated air through inappropriate use of farse, dirty grilles, rescinculation of air. Clean line apposed to airborne contamiantion. Trustificiant bed specing between patients. Isolation facilities: insufficient or poor.		: d ilities:
discharging wounds. SURVEILLANCE: Lack of surveillance with feedback.	ISOLATION e.g. door ope	NPROCEDURES: Poor compliance, en for bed making or dressings, Decolonisation regimen not followed assessed via screens.	HAND HYGIENE SOLUTIONS: irritent, ineffective or unavailable.		
FAILURE to communicate to others, e.g. PAMs, ambulance staff and other departments that patient has MRSA.		Excessive, or prolonged, use of invest devices. Inappropriate or prolonged use of a	tibiotics. Hono nygene rocinites: insufficient, poor, no mixer taps or temperature control, Paper towels harsh or absent. WITH		
BELIEFS: lack of belief in efficacy of infection control precautions. ATUTURE: Poor attitude towards	DRE Lack of Investidge greining process, and werning proceed and how to procedures. Antibiotic, and results of and results				
A 111UQ: roof atmust rooted infection control procedures well INSUFFICENT STAFFING Poor skill-mix. Staff unfamil ward routine & procedures. PRESENTATION: birty uniform/white cost, unity ho Nails: long or dirty or false. Excess jewellery or wristwat HEALTH CARE WORKER	LEVELS. iar with	Tradifficient equipment, e.g. Tradifficient equipment, e.g. Failure to comply with decontamination policies, e.g. terminal classing Poor guidelines supplied by the manufacturer. PARENT PARENT	Heavy psoria Unable/unwi comply with precautions,	illness, colorisation, e.g. is, wond ion, infection, lling to S POLIC	disinfection. MRSA, Hand hygiene. Not implemented, impractical, not accessible, not evidence based. TES Health Protection

Dissemination of results

- Feedback to those who can prevent infections
- · How should data be presented?
 - Actively discuss results
 - Target audience(s)?
 - Both good & bad results are important
- · How often should data be presented?
 - Depends on volume of data / precision of estimates
 - Risk adjustment



Increased number of IC Link Nurses





External benchmarks

External benchmarks are a powerful driver for effecting change, but require

- standardised data collection methods
- standardised analysis
- high data quality
- central co-ordination

Comparison of infection rates

Validity of comparisons within / between hospitals affected by:

- 1. Definitions of infections & other criteria
- 2. Surveillance methods
- Differences in case mix (i.e. intrinsic risks of infection)
- 4. Small numbers imprecise estimates i.e. rates vary by chance



Prote Agen

Principles underpinning SSI Surveillance Service in England

- Defined methods based on active, systematic surveillance
- Case definitions that can be applied consistently (minimise subjectivity)
- > Surveillance personnel trained in methods
- > Data checked for errors
- > Account for variation in risk factors









Mandatory reporting (via Lab-base)

MRSA & GRE bacteraemia

- Trust level data, KO3 denominators
- Limited clinical/speciality data

Clostridium difficile CDR Weekly 2003: 13(40)

- Toxin A or B+ves
- New episodes, symptomatic
- Reporting of rates in >65yrs
- KH03 denominators



Other HCAI surveillance

- Anti-Microbial Surveillance (AmSurv)

 Region based, extracts all sensitivity data
- 'Outbreak/adverse event' reporting

 Region-based STEISS system being
 - developed

Mandatory orthopaedic surveillance

- All Trusts undertaking orthopaedic surgery (from April 2004):
 - Total hip replacement
 - Hip hemiarthroplasty
 - Knee replacment
 - Open reduction of long bone fractures
- Minimum 3-month surveillance in at least one category
- Role for Regional Epidemiologist?



Developments to SSI Surveillance service

- · Improvement to efficiency of data handling
 - Web-link to enter data
 - Error correction on entry
- Amendments to dataset
 - Specific orthopaedic data items
- Data collection methods as NINSS

 Case definitions
 - Active case-finding
- Encouraging partnership between orthopaedic surgeons & ICT





What should ICTs be doing?

- Plan a surveillance programme
 Consider enhanced bacteraemia/C.difficile to
 better understand/explain your rates
- Identify resources required – 'Mandatory' and local priorities
 - Routine lab data vs. SSI surveillance
 - Trained surveillance personnel
 - Flexibility improves efficiency
- Develop partnerships with clinical teams
- Make the business case

Morbidity associated with HAI

On average a single infection acquired in hospital costs £3000 to treat and results in the patient spending 3 times longer in hospital

Plowman et al 1999





certificates@webbertraining.com

(Continuing Education Certificates for Teleclass Education are not issued or endorsed by the Health Protection Agency)

